

1934 Supplement
To
Mason's Minnesota Statutes
1927

(1927 to 1934)
(Superseding Mason's 1931 Supplement)

Containing the text of the acts of the 1929, 1931, 1933 and 1933-34 Special Sessions of the Legislature, both new and amendatory, and notes showing repeals, together with annotations from the various courts, state, federal, and the opinions of the Attorney General, construing the constitution, statutes, charters and court rules of Minnesota



Edited by

WILLIAM H. MASON, Editor-in-Chief
W. H. MASON, JR. }
R. O. MASON } Assistant Editors
J. S. O'BRIEN }

CITER- DIGEST CO.
SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA.
1934

district, for payment and return of proceeds, checks sent it by another federal reserve bank or directly by a member bank. It was not required to exact currency in payment. It might accept exchange. *Osage Nat. Bank v. F.*, 184M111, 238NW44. See Dun. Dig. 7446.

In action by bank on renewal of note given either for bank's accommodation or cashier's accommodation, evidence held not sufficiently definite to justify submitting to jury defendant's contention that his note was discharged by certain transactions and settlements between bank and cashier. *First Nat. Bank of Barnum v. B.*, 187M38, 244NW340. See Dun. Dig. 9093.

TITLE IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS

ARTICLE I.

7235. Definitions and meaning of terms.

A certificate of deposit payable to the order of "Christian Hanson Estate" was payable to bearer. 175M453, 221NW873.

7237. Reasonable time, what constitutes.

Whether farmer living $7\frac{1}{2}$ miles from town presented a check for payment within reasonable time, held for jury. 181M104, 231NW789.

Holder of check and collecting banks, held to have used due diligence in presenting check for payment before failure of drawee bank. 181M212, 231NW928. See Dun. Dig. 987, 7445.

7239. Application of act.

Negotiable Instrument Act did not repeal §7247 relating to obtaining signature by deceit, trick or artifice. *Wismo Co. v. M.*, 186M593, 244NW76.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

7247. Instrument obtained by fraud.

Evidence sustained verdict against maker and guarantor as against claim of fraud. 171M216, 213NW902.

"Trick or artifice" must deceive, and defense was without merit where there was affirmance by signer after knowledge of the precise character of the instrument. 172M126, 214NW924.

Evidence held to show that misrepresentations were made by payee in note. 174M115, 218NW464.

Finding that there was no fraud or misrepresentation by cashier of bank in transaction in which note was given held sustained by evidence. 174M261, 219NW93.

Evidence held sufficient to establish defense under this section, which creates a new defense that is not lost by the mere fact that the payee or holder of the note becomes insolvent and goes into the hands of a receiver after its execution. *Simerman v. H.*, 178M31, 225NW913.

This section was not repealed by Negotiable Instrument Act. *Wismo Co. v. M.*, 186M593, 244NW76. See Dun. Dig. 1019.

Evidence held to sustain finding that signature to note was obtained by deceit and artifice without negligence on part of maker. *Wismo Co. v. M.*, 186M593, 244NW76. See Dun. Dig. 1019.

In action on notes, fraud held for jury. *Wiebke v. E.*, 248NW702. See Dun. Dig. 1019.

CHAPTER 52

Partition Fences

7248. Fence viewers.

Establishment of center of section of land. 172M388, 215NW426.

7250. Occupants to maintain.

Land in part woodland, meadow and slough, adjoining other lands not under plow, held not "improved" so as to impose obligation to build joint line fence. *Op. Atty. Gen.*, Apr. 28, 1932.

CHAPTER 53

Estrays and Beasts Doing Damage

BEASTS DOING DAMAGE

7275. Notice to owner.

Notice is not waived by a general statement of the owner of the animals to one taking them up, "to have the damages appraised and he would pay for them." *Pruka v. M.*, 182M421, 234NW641. See Dun. Dig. 277, 10134.

The notice required in proceedings to distrain animals doing damage is a written notice and is jurisdictional. *Pruka v. M.*, 182M421, 234NW641. See Dun. Dig. 277.

MISCHIEVOUS DOGS

7285. Keeping after notice.

Owner of dog becomes liable on receiving notice by seeing the forbidden act or by information from any other person, oral or written. *Op. Atty. Gen.*, Oct. 30, 1929.

7286. Dogs worrying livestock or poultry.

Dogs may be killed under statutory authority when they are nuisances, G. S. 1923, §7287, or when they menace live stock or poultry, G. S. 1923, §7286, as amended. 175M368, 221NW430.

Common-law rule is not abrogated by this section. 175M368, 221NW430.

7287. Nuisance, when—Procedure.

174M457, 219NW770.

Dogs may be killed under statutory authority when they are nuisances, G. S. 1923, §7287, or when they menace live stock or poultry, G. S. 1923, §7286, as amended. 175M368, 221NW430.

Common-law rule is not abrogated by this section. 175M368, 221NW430.

RUNNING AT LARGE OF CERTAIN ANIMALS

7297-1. County board to license dogs.—The Board of County Commissioners of any county, by a majority vote, may provide for the licensing and regulating the running at large of dogs, and create a live-stock indemnity fund to be handled and disbursed

as hereinafter set forth. After the plan therefor shall have been in operation in any county for at least one year, the Board of County Commissioners thereof may by a majority vote, abandon the same. In any county containing a city of the first class the Board of County Commissioners shall exclude from the operation of this act such city of the first class. (Act Apr. 21, 1931, c. 295, §1.)

7297-2. Owners to obtain licenses.—The owners of all dogs six months old or over, except dogs kept in kennels, in all counties providing for the licensing and regulating the running at large of dogs as provided for in Section one of this act, shall annually obtain a license therefor, as herein provided, and it shall be unlawful for the owner of any dog six months old or over to allow such dog to run at large without being so licensed or without wearing the license tag herein provided for. (Act Apr. 21, 1931, c. 295, §2.)

7297-3. Who are owners.—For the purposes of this act, the term "owner" shall, in addition to its ordinary meaning, include any person who keeps or harbors a dog. (Act Apr. 21, 1931, c. 295, §3.)

7297-4. County auditor to issue license.—The owner of a dog for which a license shall be required, shall on or before the 15th day of July, of each year apply to the auditor of the county in which such owner resides for a license for each dog owned by him. (Act Apr. 21, 1931, c. 295, §4.)

7297-5. Application.—Application for license shall be made after July 15th and at any time, for a dog which has come into the possession or ownership of the applicant or which has reached the age of six months after said date. (Act Apr. 21, 1931, c. 295, §5.)